झारखण्ड सरकार
ग्रामीण विकास विभाग

पत्रांकः— 9 (सू0अधि0) 46/2015 ग्रा0वि0 345 (343) /राँची, दिनांक :— 07-7-15

प्रेषक :—

सियाशरण पासवान,
सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव।

सेवा में,

उपायुक्त,
पाकुड़।

विषयः— राज्य सूचना आयोग में दायर अपील संख्या— 1408/12 राम नाथ भगत बनाम जनसूचना पदाधिकारी—सह—प्रखण्ड विकास पदाधिकारी अमरापाड़ा में दिनांक 23.04.2015 को पारित आदेश का अनुपालन।

प्रसंगः— अवर सचिव, झारखण्ड राज्य सूचना आयोग, राँची का ज्ञापांक 6869 दिनांक 21.06.2015

महाशय,

निदेशानुसार उपर्युक्त विषयक प्रासंगिक पत्र (छायाप्रति संलग्न) की ओर आपका ध्यान आकृष्ट करते हुए कहना है, कि राज्य सूचना आयोग द्वारा पारित आदेश के अनुपालन में प्रखण्ड विकास पदाधिकारी, अमरापाड़ा से रू0 25,000/— (पचीस हजार रूपये मात्र) की वसूली प्रारंभ किये जाने से संबंधित प्रतिवेदन यथा शीघ्र उपलब्ध कराने की कृपा की जाय।

अनुलग्नक—यथोक्त।

विश्वासभाजन,

6/7/15

(सियाशरण पासवान)
सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव।

ज्ञापांक :— 9 (सू0अधि0) 46/2015 ग्रा0वि0 **राँची, दिनांक** :—

प्रतिलिपि — अनुलग्नक की छायाप्रति के साथ जन सूचना पदाधिकारी—सह— प्रखण्ड विकास पदाधिकारी, अमरापाड़ा, पाकुड़ को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्रवाई हेतु प्रेषित ।

6/7/15

सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव।

ज्ञापांक :— 9 (सू0अधि0) 46/2015 ग्रा0वि0 **राँची, दिनांक** :—

प्रतिलिपि — प्रधान सचिव, कार्मिक प्रशासनिक सुधार एवं राजभाषा विभाग, झारखण्ड, राँची/अवर सचिव प्रभारी प्रशाखा— 1 एवं 4 (अनुलग्नक की छायाप्रति सहित) ग्रामीण विकास विभाग, झारखण्ड, राँची को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्रवाई हेतु प्रेषित ।

6/7/15

सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव।

ज्ञापांक :— 9 (सू0अधि0) 46/2015 ग्रा0वि0 राँची, दिनांक :—

प्रतिलिपि — अवर सचिव, झारखण्ड राज्य सूचना आयोग, राँची को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्रवाई हेतु प्रेषित ।

6/7/15

सरकार के संयुक्त सचिव।

Appeal No-1408/12

Ram Nath Bhagat
Vs
Public information Officer-cum-Block Development Officer, Amrapara Block, Pakur

23.04.15

The record is put up for order.

Perused the record. On perusal of the record it appears that the appellant had demanded certain informations from the P.I.O. by filing his application dated 09.02.12 under the Right to Information Act, 2005. When the appellant did not get the relevant information, the appellant preferred first appeal before the competent authority and thereafter second appeal before the Competent authority and thereafter second appeal before the Commission.

Pursuant to the notice issued by the Commission, the P.I.O. communicated the relevant information to the Commission and its copy has been sent to the appellant under Memo No-835 dated 15.12.12.

The appellant by filing his objection petition dated 21.12.12 submitted before the Commission that though the informations have been disseminated by the P.I.O. but those are not correct and suppressed the matter to save the officer involve in the matter.

It further appears from the letter dated 431 dated 03.10.13 addressed to the appellant that the P.I.O. has rectified the objection and has clearly stated the facts point wise and in support of his stand the P.I.O. furnished relevant documents issued by the Block Supply Officer, Amrapara (letter No-184 dated 10.12.12) and the photo copy of he BPL statement. Thereafter the appellant has again raised objection addressing the P.I.O. and the copy of the same is available on the record.

(37)

The appellant has filed written submission in this appeal alongwith photo copy of the Ration Card issued in the name of Ramnath Bhagat and photo copies of other documents in support of his contention that the informations disseminated by the P.I.O. is wrong and misleaded. The appellant as well to the Commission also. Inspite of giving opportunity to the P.I.O., the P.I.O. did not appear before the Commission to make his stand on the fixed date.

So far the submission of show cause in terms of the notice issued by the Commission, vide letter No-9780 dated 14.11.12 and subsequent order passed on 07.06.13, the P.I.O. did not file his show cause, explaining the cause of delay, which amounts to violation of the order of the Commission.

Considering the facts of the case, and the facts mentioned in the written submission by the appellant, the Commission observes that informations disseminated by the P.I.O. is not correct and he tried to suppress the facts in giving informations to the appellant, further violated the order of the Commission avoiding submission of show cause for the delay of ten months, therefore the P.I.O. is liable to face consequences of the law. Therefore, a sum of Rs. 25,000/- Is imposed as penalty under section 20(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 against the P.I.O. presently posted as Block Development Officer, Amrapara Block, Pakur. The aforesaid amount should be realized from the monthly salary bill @ Rs. 5,000/- per month in 5 Installments, which should start from the salary of June, 2015. The responsibility for realization of the penalty amount is vested upon the Deputy Commissioner, Pakur as well as the Treasury Officer, District Treasury Officer, Pakur and shall be deposited in the Govt. Exchequer. Send a copy of the order to the Deputy Commissioner, Pakur, Treasury Officer, District Treasury Office, Pakur/ Public Information Officer-cum-Block Development

(36)

Officer, Amrapara Block, Pakur, Shri Ramnath Bhagat, S/o Late Bhagwan Bhagat, Vill+P.O-Amrapara, Distt-Pakur, as well to the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.

Let, this appeal be put up on 04.08.15 for compliance report.

Sd/-
Acting Chief Information Commission

Memo No 6869 Dated 21/06/15

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Pakur,/ Treasury Officer, District Treasury Office, Pakur/ Public Information Officer-cum-Block Development Officer, Amrapara Block, Pakur,/Shri Ramnath Bhagat, S/o Late Bhagwan Bhagat, Vill+P.O-Amrapara, Distt-Pakur for information.

Sd/-
Under Secretary
JSIC, Ranchi

Memo No Dated

Copy forwarded to the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi for information.

Under Secretary
JSIC, Ranchi